## **Matching Cost**

Pascal Michaillat https://pascalmichaillat.org/c2/

Assumption. Each visit requires pt(0,1) services Service pur chased · consumed. C (deliver utility) used for matching l conduct visits serve consumed 2 services purchased Why do we introduce a coor of visits? A Realism: It is in general costly for customers to find an appropriate supplier. Cust omero luine middlemen for visits 4 to find suppliers (real estate agent, staffing occuries, brokens, travel agents) Cal g vioits in terms of time & Cost of vibit can also be a pervice purchased as a trial which dos mot delicer utility -> bad hair cut, bad meal, bad coffee. B Theoret. cal symmetry sellers have to spend their - Selling pervices is costly to sellers it requires to commit services. Through the cost p, visits are costly to Juxers. So on both ordes of the montpet, Jinding a trading partner

is costly\_\_\_\_\_ by more trung. ( the costs do not have to be the same -\_\_\_\_ will are evidence to calibrate costs)

Selle, me always happy to sell a pervice : Key derive a surplus from the sale with a visit cost pugers are los always happy to buy a service: Obey dos derive a surplus. So both modes derive a surplus from hode. pymmetry & realism -

- In the welface analytis we will obtain an interior efficient allocation by slack I tightness generate a cost on both sides of the market without the visit car, we would obtain a brundary afficient allocation. This is a special case here too but not the general case (Interior solutions are always preferable - more solisbying)

Why do we measure the visit cat in terms of occurios?

A Tractability: No need to introduce an additional good

B. Portaboility. Can apply the same modelling strategry p the byen is a firm (sjintermediate goods) or the government C. Syon metry On de labor market the cot of recruiting is a labor cost. Here the cost of hurring pervices ids a pervice cost.